

**Author: Wolf Dietrich Geppert (University of Stockholm)**



#### *Thermodynamic Data*

$$\Delta H_{298}^{\circ}(1\text{a}) \approx -469 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta H_{298}^{\circ}(1\text{b}) \approx -317 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

All thermodynamical data were taken from Ref. [1]. Both reactions are enough exoergic to prevent small errors in the thermodynamic data to affect the viability of the processes.

#### **Rate Coefficient Data *k***

<i>k</i> / cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<i>T</i> / K	Reference	Comments
<i>Rate Coefficient Measurements</i>			
None			
<i>Rate Coefficient Reviews and Evaluations</i>			
$3.0 \times 10^{-7}(T/300)^{-0.5}$	10 – 300	UMIST database	
$3.0 \times 10^{-7}(T/300)^{-0.5}$		OSU website	
<i>Branching Fraction Measurements</i>			
None			
<i>Branching Fraction Reviews and Evaluations</i>			
1(a) = 1	10 – 300	UMIST database and OSU website	
1(b) = 0			

#### **Comments**

Two isomers of these ions exist,  $\text{CCN}^+$  and  $\text{CNC}^+$ . Both have comparatively high enthalpies of formation,  $\text{CNC}^+$  being the more stable one by 95 kJ/mol. We therefore limit our discussions to this species.

Albeit no experimental measurements exist for the title reaction, there are several measurements of other ions consisting of three heavier atoms (other than hydrogen). Such studies exist, amongst

others for  $\text{CO}_2^+$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}^+$ ,  $\text{SO}_2^+$  and  $\text{OCS}^+$  [2-6]. From the data available for these systems, some conclusions about the behaviour of  $\text{NCN}^+$  upon dissociative recombination can be derived.

The dissociative recombination rates of ions containing three atoms are often in the range of  $3\text{-}5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . For  $\text{CO}_2$  a recent storage ring experiment yielded  $4.2 \times 10^{-7}(T/300)^{-0.75} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is in agreement with most previous afterglow studies [3,7,8]. Only a storage ring measurement at ASTRID yielded a somewhat higher value [2]. For

$\text{N}_2\text{O}^+$  a rate constant  $k = 3.34 \times 10^{-7}(\text{T}/300)^{-0.57} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  was obtained in a CRYRING study, for  $\text{SO}_2^+$  the respective figure was  $k = 4.6 \times 10^{-7}(\text{T}/300)^{-0.52} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . In the case of  $\text{OCS}^+$ , the Stockholm team measured a rate constant of  $3.5 \times 10^{-7}(\text{T}/300)^{-0.62} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ . Only for the ozone cation a higher rate constant of  $7.37 \times 10^{-7}(\text{T}/300)^{-0.55} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  was observed [9]. Therefore, we can with some confidence recommend a rate constant of  $4 \times 10^{-7}(\text{T}/300)^{-0.6}$  for the dissociative recombination of  $\text{CNC}^+$ , applying a somewhat median value for both the rate constant and the thermal coefficient among three-atomic ions.

Some of the ions show a three-body break-up, which is endothermic in the dissociative recombination of  $\text{CNC}^+$ . In neither the  $\text{OCS}^+$  nor the  $\text{CO}_2^+$  ion a considerable contribution of the channel leading to ejection of the central ion (producing  $\text{C}+\text{O}_2$  or  $\text{C}+\text{SO}$ , respectively) can be found (in  $\text{OCS}^+$  the branching fraction of this channel is only 0.03). In the case of  $\text{CO}_2^+$ , the ring experiments somewhat disagree: in the ASTRID study the  $\text{C}+\text{O}_2$  pathway shows a branching fraction of 0.09, whereas the CRYRING experiment yielded that this product channel is non-existing. We therefore assume that the branching fraction of the corresponding reaction pathway ( $\text{N} + \text{C}_2$ ) in the title reaction does not exceed 0.05, which we recommend as a value.

*Recommended rate constant:*

$$k = 4.0 \times 10^{-7}(\text{T}/300)^{-0.6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

*Recommended branching fractions:*

$$(1a) = 0.95$$

$$(1b) = 0.05$$

## References

- [1] S. G. Lias, J. E. Bartmess, J. F. Liebmann; J. L. Holmes, R. D. Levin and W. G. Mallard, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **17** (1997)
- [2] K. Seiersen, A. Al-Khalili, M. J. Jensen, I. B. Nielsen, H. B. Petersen, C. P. Safvan, and L. H. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 022708 (2003)
- [3] A. A. Viggiano, A. Ehlerding, F. Hellberg, R. D. Thomas, V. Zhaunerchyk, W. D. Geppert, H. Montaigne, M. Larsson, M. Kaminska and F. Österdahl, *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 226101 (2005)
- [4] M. Hamberg, W. D. Geppert, S. Rosén, F. Hellberg, A. Ehlerding, V. Zhaunerchyk, M. Kaminska, R. D. Thomas, M. af Ugglas, A. Källberg, A. Simonsson, A. Paál and M. Larsson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **122**, 156101 (2005)
- [5] W. D. Geppert, F. Hellberg, A. Ehlerding, J. Semaniak, F. Österdahl, M. Kaminska, V. Zhaunerchyk, A. Al-Khalili, M. af Ugglas, R. Thomas, A. Källberg, and M. Larsson, *Astrophys. J.* **610**, 1228 (2004)
- [6] H. Montaigne, W. D. Geppert, J. Semaniak, F. Österdahl, F. Hellberg, R. D. Thomas, M. af Ugglas, H. Roberts, T. J. Millar, V. Zhaunerchyk, M. Kaminska, A. Al-Khalili, A. Källberg and M. Larsson, *Astrophys. J.* **631**, 653 (2005)
- [7] M. Geoghegan, N. G. Adams, and D. Smith, *J. Phys. B* **24**, 2589 (1991)
- [8] T. Gougousi, M. F. Golde, and R. Johnsen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **265**, 399 (1997)
- [9] V. Zhaunerchyk, W. D. Geppert, F. Österdahl, M. Larsson, R. D. Thomas, E. Bahati, M. E. Bannister, M. R. Fogle and C. R. Vane, *Phys. Rev. A*, **77**, 022704 (2008)

(27.10.2008)